



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,053	09/536,053 03/27/2000		Michael K. Just	0500.9912151	5651
23418	7590	11/08/2004		EXAM	INER
VEDDER I 222 N. LAS		AUFMAN & KAN	ZAND, k	ZAND, KAMBIZ	
CHICAGO, IL 60601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·				2132	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	·09/536,053	JUST, MICHAEL K.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kambiz Zand	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cf.	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>claims 8, 16, 27, 36 and</u>	<u>39</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8,16,27,36 and 39</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-15,17-26,28-35 and 38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
10. Other:	64	Tento Sa				
		BERTO BARRON ORY PATENT EXAMINER				
		LOGY CENTER 2100				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the following reasons:

* In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. "the use of trusted alias maps that ...identify multiple public key certificate to be associated with a single, or more than one, friendly e-mail name",) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed Cir. 1993). Examiner would resonsider if the claims represent the above phrases with the exception of the phrases "may" or "for example" in the claim language and if such amendment do not necessiate new search or consideration.

Examiner withdrwas the rejection of claims 8, 16, 27, 36 and 39 based on applicant's persuasive arguments.